

Clinicians' Guide to Dental Products & Techniques

Volume 27, Issue 4

April 2003

Information below

STATUS REPORT CORE BUILDUP & ADHESIVE COMPATIBILITY

When buildup of vital tooth structure is necessary, lack of post-operative sensitivity & long term retention are major clinical challenges. As adhesive development by companies progressed, use with buildup resins was apparently not a consideration, as evidenced by very low to no bond of 62% of 24 adhesives tested with buildup resins in 2000 (See Jun '00 CRA Newsletter). After 3 years of many changes, report below updates clinicians on the current state of buildup resin–adhesive compatibility & other characteristics.

Buildups can vary from small additions to existing vital dentin to significantly large restorations on

non-vital teeth. For vital teeth, materials & techniques that control post-op sensitivity are the most crucial, since the bond to tooth structure is derived mainly from mechanical features placed in the

Buildups on posts in non-vital teeth have opposite needs.

CLINICAL CONSIDERATIONS IN CORE BUILDUP PROCEDURES

A. BUILDUP SIZE RELATES TO CHOICE OF BUILDUP MATERIAL & ADHESIVE.

In This Issue:

• CORE BUILDUP & ADHESIVE COMPATIBILITY

Pages 1 - 3

ORABASE SOOTHE-N-SEAL New formulation topical barrier

Page 4

• **IMAGEMAX** X-ray film processor

Page 4



illustrates these points:

preparation.

LESS THAN 1/2 OF TOOTH PREPARATION MISSING ON A VITAL TOOTH. This is the most commonly occurring augmentation need. Technically this is a replacement for *previous* amalgam or composite resin. Mechanical retention usually is not necessary. <u>Bonded compomer is the easiest restoration. Only moderate strength is necessary, but desensitization is mandatory</u>.

(2) <u>BUILDUP</u>

MORE THAN 1/2 OF CORONAL TOOTH STRUCTURE IS MISSING ON A VITAL TOOTH. This situation occurs less frequently now because higher strength cements are used during crown seating by many for the dentin replacement instead of performing a separate buildup procedure. Retention is achieved with "pot holes", grooves, channels, & if necessary, pins. Bonded composite resin is the easiest & best solution. High strength, bond, retention, & desensitization are all mandatory.



MORE THAN 1/2 OF CORONAL TOOTH STRUCTURE IS MISSING ON A NON-VITAL TOOTH. This situation has increased because more teeth are retained longer & endodontic therapy is more common. Anti-rotational features can include "pot holes", grooves, channels, & if necessary, pins. <u>Bonded composite resin is the easiest, best, & most popular technique.</u> <u>High strength, bond, & retention are mandatory & desensitization is unnecessary.</u>

B. POST OPERATIVE SENSITIVITY RELATES TO CHOICE OF ADHESIVE.

The critical concern with any buildup on vital teeth is post-op sensitivity. In these cases, adhesives with well established clinical history of no post-op sensitivity are products of choice. Brand names include Amalgambond Plus, Clearfil Liner Bond 2V, & Clearfil SE Bond. Newest brand names of adhesives using "self-etching primer" <u>concept</u> are: AdheSE, Brush & Bond, iBond, Nano-Bond, One-Up Bond F, Optibond Solo Plus SEP, Simplicity, Solobond Plus, Tenure Uni-Bond, Touch & Bond, Tyrian One-Step Plus, Unifil Bond, & Xeno III. Theoretically, post-op sensitivity should not be a problem with these 13 newest products but time is needed to establish this clinically.

C. BUILDUP RETENTION RELATES TO CHOICE OF ADHESIVE-BUILDUP RESIN COMBINATION.

Four factors below present critical challenges to all 3 types of buildups described above in section A.

- (1) Forces & vibration during cutting of the crown prep minutes after buildup polymerization.
- (2) Forces during withdrawal of impression material minutes after buildup polymerization.
- (3) Forces during removal of temporary crown several weeks after buildup placement.
- (4) Everyday forces of mastication.

In all cases, reliance on bond strength of adhesive alone to secure buildup resin to tooth structure is not advisable. Use of undercuts in dentin for mechanical retention such as groves, channels, "pot holes", & pins & posts are all viable techniques to establish long term retention. However, adhesive-buildup resin combinations with reliable high bond strengths are desirable, & incompatibilities are unacceptable.

"CLINICAL SUCCESS IS THE FINAL TEST."



Provo, Utah 84604 801-226-2121 www.cranews.com



When this light cure buildup resin was used with dual cure adhesives marked with a * in column A, dual cure component was not used per adhesive manufacurer's directions.

Buildup chemistry was changed since test results reported in Jun '00 CRA report.
Buildup chemistry was changed since test results reported in Jun '00 CRA report.
Dual cure adhesive component was used when bonding to dual or auto cure buildup resins & was not used with the light cure buildup resin.
Not Recommended for use with dual or auto cure buildup resins per each adhesive manufacturer.

SUMMARY OF CHART:

- A. Buildup resin chemistry was a major variable that affected bond strengths. PhotoCore light cure buildup resin had highest percentage of high bond strengths (85%) & the 2 auto cure buildup resins (Ti-Core Gray & Core-Flo) both had only 30% high bonds. The 5 dual cure resins had 57% to 74% high bonds, depending on formulation.
- B. No buildup resin had high bonds with all adhesives tested.
- C. Only 4 adhesives had high bonds with all 8 buildup resins tested (All-Bond 2, Optibond FL, Tenure Uni-Bond & Bond Link, & Tyrian One-Step Plus). One adhesive (Encore Bond) had very low to no bond with all 8 buildup resins tested.
- D. The number of adhesive-buildup resin incompatibilities (see red cells in chart) are reduced significantly compared to the June '00 CRA report on this same subject.

3. Clinically important points related to these buildup-adhesive tests

A. DUAL CURE BUILDUP RESINS NEED LIGHT ACTIVATION.

Statistically significant increases in bond strengths were found when light initiation was used with the 5 dual cure buildup resins *vs.* reliance on their auto cure alone.

B. THE DUAL CURE COMPONENT IN DUAL CURE ADHESIVES IS NEEDED WHEN THEY ARE USED WITH AUTO CURE BUILDUP RESINS.

CRA tested dual cure adhesives both with, & without, their dual cure component with auto cure buildup resins & found in all cases but one that bond strengths were improved by its use. (Bond 1 had low bond strengths both with, & without, its dual cure component.) Numbers in the page 2 chart include dual cure component use with both the auto cure buildup resins.

C. BOND OF DUAL CURE ADHESIVE TO DUAL CURE BUILDUP RESINS MAY, OR MAY NOT, BE IMPROVED WITH DUAL CURE COMPONENT.

Data below illustrate fact that dual cure component use does not <u>always</u> improve bond strengths to dual cure buildup resins. At this time, clinicians have no basis to judge whether or not to use the dual cure component. Manufacturer must test & include brand name recommendations in their directions.

Bond strengths (MPa) of adhesives with, & without, dual cure component.



D. ANY TYPE OF RESIN CURING LIGHT COULD BE USED WITH THE BUILDUPS & ADHESIVES TESTED.

Halogen, plasma arc, & LED lights cured all materials well. However, the light cure buildup resin (PhotoCore) cured deeper than the dual cure buildup resins per unit time.

E. DUAL BARREL SYRINGES WITH AUTO-MIX TIPS FOR BUILDUP RESINS HAD ADVANTAGES & DISADVANTAGES.

Advantages were fast, convenient, easy to use, & possibility for more consistent dispensing & mixing. Disadvantages were cost, waste, & potential for inconsistent dispensing & mixing resulting in low bonds if clinician does not extrude small amount of material before attaching auto-mix tip to assure proper material flow from both syringe barrels.

F. SOME BUILDUP RESINS & ADHESIVES WERE MUCH EASIER TO USE.

Ease of use promotes consistent, reliable results & speeds treatment. Build-It, CorePaste, & Luxacore buildup resins, & Brush & Bond adhesive were notably easier to use.

G. BUILDUP RESIN COSTS PER UNIT WEIGHT VARY GREATLY.

Pricing differs by dispensing mode. Buildup resins packaged in gun expressed double barrel syringes had lowest cost of \$1.19 – \$2.96 per gram including cost of tips. Jar dispensing had medium cost of \$1.77 – \$4.14 per gram. Single syringe or small hand expressed dual syringe systems had highest cost at \$3.50 – \$8.35 per gram.

4. CONCLUSIONS

Ideally, buildup resins & adhesives should have high bond strengths to tooth, no chemical incompatibility, & no post-op sensitivity. Products where these 3 characteristics are well established clinically & in these tests are PhotoCore buildup with Clearfil SE Bond & Clearfil Liner Bond 2V adhesives, & any of the 6 light or dual cure buildup resins tested with Amalgambond Plus. 13 new self etching primer adhesives (designated as Systems 3 or 4 in column B on page 2 chart) have potential to meet these 3 criteria as clinical use establishes lack of post-op sensitivity. Chart on page 2 shows buildup resins these 13 adhesives bond to best. If post-op sensitivity is not a concern, the chart on page 2 can help clinicians determine systems with high bond strengths & section 3 F above indicates products with best ease of use.

CRA

CONFIRMED NOTEWORTHY— USEFUL

TOPICAL BARRIER PROVIDES ORAL SOFT TISSUE PAIN RELIEF



\$9-11 / Kit (1ml tube of liquid, 10 applicators, 10-well tray) **Colgate Oral Pharmaceuticals** One Colgate Way • Canton, MA 02021 • U.S.A. 800-962-2345 • Fax: 781-821-2187 Website: www.colgate.com

ORABASE SOOTHE-N-SEAL

Page 4

Established name with new formulation of over the counter medical grade "Super Glue" (2-octyl cyanoacrylate) applied to oral canker sores & other oral abrasions. Sponge applicator releases liquid onto dried tissue to provide protective film & prevent irritation. Advantages: (1) Immediate pain relief; (2) Easy & fast to use; (3) Application system worked well; & (4) Barrier stayed in place. Disadvantages: (1) "Glues" unintended tissues together if not allowed to dry thoroughly or if handled carelessly; (2) Applicator tip can irritate sores during drying & application; & (3) Applicator handles were too short to reach some areas. NOTE: Currently only available in the U.S.

IMAGEMAX

74% of 35 CRA Evaluators stated this product would <u>replace</u> products they use currently, & **89**% rated it excellent or good & worthy of trial by colleagues.

Desktop unit combines convenience of an automatic processor with film quality of a dip tank. Films are held in the processing tank & solutions are moved to the film by air pressure from sealed one quart reservoirs without rollers or moving parts. User sets temperature (68-85°F) based on image quality & speed desired. Lower temperatures produce best resolution, but require longer times. Unit automatically adjusts processing time based on temperature & type of film (intraoral or pan/ceph) following Kodak's guidelines to produce maximum image quality. Optional daylight loader is available which encloses entire processor.

Unit develops 1 pan or ceph, or up to 24 intraoral films at a time. New films cannot be added until film being processed is done. Films waiting to be processed are loaded into holder & placed into light-tight staging box that has

an indicator LED that blinks when it contains films to be processed.

11:10 minutes - intraorals at 68°F (highest image guality)

SELF-CONTAINED AUTOMATIC X-RAY FILM PROCESSOR

ImageMax without daylight loader.



\$3,450 / Unit

\$ 625 / Daylight loader **Dental X-ray Support Systems**

11616 E. Montgomery Drive, Suite #35

Spokane, WA 99206 • U.S.A.

509-242-1011 • 888-230-9500 • Fax: 509-242-1012 Website: www.dxss.com

ADVANTAGES:

A. Produces high quality films with good contrast & no roller marks. B. Easy to use.

Optional daylight

loader.

- C. LCD screen shows processing status at all times, tracks chemistry usage, & prompts when service needed.
- D. No moving parts. Requires less cleaning & maintenance.
- E. Self-contained. Can be located conveniently without plumbing. Small size without daylight loader: 18" wide x 15" deep x 19.5" high; 47.6 lbs. (with solutions).
- G. Large tinted viewing window in optional daylight loader.
- H. Films can be viewed anytime (endo), then returned to unit to complete processing to create archival quality films.
- I. Sealed solution containers reduce fumes, spills, & oxidation.

DISADVANTAGES:

Endo films (run at 85°F) could be viewed after 1:15 minutes.

- A. Longer processing time for archival quality intraoral films vs. other processors (about 7 min. vs. 5 min.) but can be read wet (about 5 min).
- B. Films must be processed in batches & cannot be added at any time. C. Daylight loader is bulky (20.5" wide x 21" deep x 24" high) & cuffs were
- reported as difficult to work with for some Evaluators.
- D. Frequent need to replace wash water.
- E. Unit does not maintain low temperature setting during periods of constant use.
- F. Loading films requires extra step & more time than other processors.
- G. Audible beep that indicates processing is complete is too quiet.

CONCLUSIONS: ImageMax is best suited for small offices with low x-ray demands &/or clinicians who demand high quality films. It could be an ideal back-up processor since the tanks can be filled, machine turned on, & film processing begun within a few minutes time.

Products evaluated by CRA & reported in the CRA Newsletter have been selected on the basis of merit from hundreds of products under evaluation. CRA conducts research at 3 levels: (1) Multiple-user field evaluations, (2) Controlled long-term clinical research, & (3) Basic science laboratory research. Over 400 clinical field evaluators are located throughout the world & 48 full-time employees work at the institute. All professional staff volunteer their time. A product must meet at least one of the following standards to be reported in this publication: (1) Innovative & new on the market; (2) Less expensive, but meets the use standards; (3) Unrecognized, valuable classic; or (4) Superior to others in its broad classification. Your results may differ from CRA Evaluators or other researchers on any product because of differences in preferences, techniques, batches of products, & environments. Clinical Research Associates, Inc. (CRA) is a non-profit educational & research corporation using a unique volunteer structure to produce objective, factual data. All proceeds are used to support the work of CRA & the CRA Foundation, a tax exempt foundation. ©2003 Clinical Research Associates, Inc. This Newsletter or portions thereof may not be duplicated without permission of CRA. Annual English subscription \$58 in U.S. & \$60 (U.S. Funds) in other languages &/or countries, or \$7 per issue.

CRA tests showed dry-to-dry processing times of:

7:08 minutes - intraorals at 83°F

7:55 minutes - pan at 83°F